

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				`
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/544,507	04/06/2000	Giampiero M. Sierra	MS1-486US	9078
22801	7590 12/23/2003		EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			NGUYEN, NHON D	
SPOKANE,	<del>-</del>	<del>,</del>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
J. J			2174	* *
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/544,507	SIERRA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replent of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 August 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,5,9,13,17 and 21 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1, 5, 9, 13, 17, and 21 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the certification in the certification in the first sentence of the certification in the certific	is have been received. Is have been received in Application of the certified copies not received in Application of the certified copies not received in priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(est sentence of the specification or povisional application has been received in priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)	_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/544,507 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is responsive to Amendment B, filed 08/22/2003.

2. Claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 17, and 21 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 9, and 17 are independent claims. In the Amendment B, claims 4, 12, and 20 are cancelled; claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 17, and 21 are amended. This action is made non-final.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 9, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. ("Suzuki", US 5,986,568) in view of Clark et al ("Clark", US 5,995,101).

As per independent claim 1, Suzuki teaches a method for use in a graphical user interface configured to support a login operation, the method comprising:

displaying at least one user identifier prompt within a graphical user interface, the at least one user identifier prompt including at least one selectable user area operatively associated with a previously configured user capable of completing a login operation by inputting user password input (col. 16, lines 58-60);

upon receiving user input selecting the at least one selectable user area, displaying at least one user input field within the graphical user interface, wherein the at least one user input field is

Art Unit: 2174

automatically configured to operatively receive user password input associated with the login operation (col. 16, line 66 – col. 17, line 5);

Suzuki does not disclose password reminder information; however, the Examiner takes

Official Notice that password reminder information is well known in the computer art. It would
have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify Suzuki's system to include
password reminder information feature since it would allow users to recall their password
information in case they forget it.

Modified Suzuki teaches determining when conditions allow for the reception of the user password input (col. 16, line 66 – col. 17, line 5); however, modified Suzuki does not disclose "while conditions allow for the reception of the user password input and it is determined that there has been a failure to operatively receive the user password input for the login operation, then automatically displaying reminder information associated with the user input field through a non-modal mechanism within the graphical user interface". Clark discloses a tool tip feature initiated after a predetermined amount of time, or trigger interval, of user inactivity (col. 2, lines 34-40). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Clark of automatically displaying a tool tip feature if it is determined that there has been a failure to operatively receive the user input in modified Suzuki' system since it would further make it easier and more convenient for users to remember their password information without having them doing it manually.

As per independent claims 9 and 17, they are similar in scope to claim 1; therefore, they should be rejected under similar rationale.

Application/Control Number: 09/544,507

Art Unit: 2174

Page 4

5. Claims 5, 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Clark, as applied to claims 1, 9 and 17 respectively, and further in view of Cohen et al ("Cohen", US 5,740,035).

As per claim 5, which is dependent on claim 1, modified Suzuki does not disclose the reminder information includes user provided password reminder information. Cohen discloses that at col. 13, lines 48-54 (fig. 5B). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Cohen of the reminder information includes user provided password reminder information in modified Suzuki's system since it would help users easier to recall their password upon their owned reminder information.

As per 13 and 21, which are dependent on claims 9 and 17 respectively, they are similar in scope to claim 5; therefore, they should be rejected under similar rationale.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 17, and 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Inquiries

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-

Application/Control Number: 09/544,507

Art Unit: 2174

Page 5

8318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8 AM to 5:30 PM with

every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kistine L Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Nhon (Gary) Nguyen December 12, 2003 Wristine Vincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100